WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Manuel Orlando Rendon-Sauceda	Case Number: <u>13-02076M-001</u>	
present ar	nd was represented by counsel. I conclude by a prepo of the defendant pending trial in this case.	detention hearing was held on September 10, 2013. Defendant was onderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I find by a	preponderance of the evidence that:	GS OF FACT	
×	The defendant is not a citizen of the United St	rates or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.	
×	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.		
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
×			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in c	court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforc	ement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	years imprisonment.	
Th at the time	he Court incorporates by reference the material finding of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the	gs of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court record.	
	CONCLUS	SIONS OF LAW	
1.	There is a serious risk that the defendant will	flee.	
2.	No condition or combination of conditions will	reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.	
	DIRECTIONS REC	GARDING DETENTION	
a correction appeal. The of the Unit	ons facility separate, to the extent practicable, from pe he defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportur	rney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in rsons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending nity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court ament, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	APPEALS AND TH	HIRD PARTY RELEASE	
		n order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to al Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
Services s investigate		party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial trict Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
	<u></u>		

JAMES F. METCALF United States Magistrate Judge